

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
OTTOMOTTO LLC, AND OTTO
TRUCKING LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
SHORTEN TIME FOR HEARING ON
UBER'S MOTION TO COMPEL
ARBITRATION**

Complaint Filed: February 23, 2017
First Am. Compl. Filed: March 10, 2017
Trial Date: October 2, 2017

1 Having considered Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Ottomotto LLC, and Otto
2 Trucking, LLC (collectively “Uber”)’s Administrative Motion to Shorten Time for Hearing on
3 Uber’s Motion to Compel Arbitration (“Motion”), the Court **GRANTS** Uber’s Motion and
4 **ORDERS** the following schedule for the Motion:

- 5 • Waymo’s Opposition to the Motion: **April 3, 2017**:
6 • Defendants’ Reply In Support of Their Motion: **April 6, 2017**
7 • Hearing on the Motion: **April 13, 2017**

8

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 Dated: _____, 2017

11

12 HON. WILLIAM H. ALSUP
13 United States District Court Judge

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28